

Compilation of Evidence for Validation

Version 1.1, March 2017

Project Name:	Dufton	
Registry ID:	N/A	
Location:	North Pennines AONB	
Grid Reference:	NY	
Gross Area (ha):	118.18ha	
Project Developer:	North Pennines AONB Partnership	
PDD Completed by:	David Higgins, Field Officer	
Email contact:	david@northpenninesaonb.org.uk	

All statements made in this document are correct to the best of my knowledge at the time of completion. *I agree*

NOTE:

- 1. When completing each section of this document please refer to the requirements set out in the relevant section of the Peatland Code. Boxes for text can be expanded if not large enough.
- 2. Your Project Design Document will be made available on the publically available Peatland Code Registry upon achievement of validation.

3. Additional evidence to support the statements made within this document will be required by the certification body.

Contents

1.	Eligil	bility and Governance	
	1.1	Eligible Activities	1
	1.2	Project Duration	1
	1.3	Eligible Land	2
	1.4	Consultation	2
	1.5	Additionality	3
	1.6	Avoidance of Double Counting	4
	1.7	GHG Statements	4
2.	Proje	ect Design	
	2.1	Management Plan	5
	2.2	Monitoring Plan	5
	2.3	Management of Risk to Project Permanence	5
3.	GHG	Emissions Reduction	
	3.1	Establishment of Baseline Emissions	6
	3.2	GHG Leakage	6
	3 3	Net GHG Emissions Reduction	7

1. Eligibility and Governance

1.1 Eligible Activities

1) Please provide a short summary of the project including as a minimum reference to peatland type, peatland condition and restoration and management activities which shall be implemented.

Dufton Fell is one of the North Pennines highest restoration sites which is both exposed and has high precipitation levels. It is a deep peat upland blanket bog with good areas of habitat adjacent to the restoration sites, which is split in to two discreet plots. The restoration areas are composed of actively eroding gullies and hags with flat bare peat and dendritically eroded areas. The project will utilise well-established peatland restoration techniques including reprofiling and revegetating of gullies and hags; blocking eroding gullies using stone dams, coir rolls to slow the flow in shallower gullies and on bare peat; revegetating all bare peat areas (including reprofiled gully and hag sides) using heather brash, a moorland seed mix, lime, phosphate-based fertiliser and cotton grass plugs. There will be a comprehensive management and maintenance plan to ensure the restoration is effective.

- 2) Is a minimum peat depth of 50cm present within the project area? Yes
- 2) Please provide details of any current land management agreements, including any statutory designations, in existence within the project area.

Entry and Higher Level Stewardship (not including capital works on peatland restoration) SPA. SAC and SSSI

Natura 2000 site

Within area of North Pennines AONB

a) Please state any identified conflicts between planned restoration and management ctivities and existing land management agreements and how these shall be nitigated.
	none

1.2 Project Duration

1) Please state the project duration (years).

30

project area.							
N/A							
1.3 Eligible Land							
1a) Please state the owne project area	r(s) and if applicable, the tenai	nt(s), of the land within the					
Owner: Corlands Minerals							
1b) Please state the land r	egistry number, if known						
2) Has any new activity to within the project area sind	drain and/or remove vegetatio ce November 2015? <i>No</i>	n taken place on the peatland					
1.4 Consultation							
1) Please state all identified stakeholders (or their representatives), the consultation method and the consultation period.							
Stakeholder Consultation Method Consultation Period							
Stakeholder	Consultation Method	Consultation Period					
Stakeholder	Consultation Method	Consultation Period					
3) Please state all negative	e impacts of the project identif						
3) Please state all negative	e impacts of the project identif	ied by stakeholder(s) and the					
3) Please state all negative action taken to mitigate, w	e impacts of the project identif here feasible and/or relevant Action taken	ied by stakeholder(s) and the					
3) Please state all negative action taken to mitigate, w Negative Impact Identified No negative impacts identified from any	e impacts of the project identif here feasible and/or relevant Action taken	ied by stakeholder(s) and the					

2) If the project duration exceeds 55 years please state the peat depth within the

1.5 Additionality

- 1) Is there a legal requirement specifying that peatland within the project area must be restored? *No*
- 2) Please state the proportion of the project restoration and management costs that Carbon Finance will be required to fund.

N/A

3) Please describe the economic alternatives for the peatland within the project area and describe the influence of Carbon Finance on the project's economic viability over its duration (Internal Rate of Return (IRR) or Net Present Value (NPV) should be used to demonstrate comparison).

As most of this restoration site is actively draining and eroding, there are no realistic economic alternatives for the land other than the small benefits currently obtained from grouse shooting and occasional sheep grazing. However, post peatland restoration, the site would perform significant ecosystem services over the 30-year duration of the project. In addition to the carbon saved from the current intense erosion, restoration will reduce the amount of sediment and help slow the flow of water into the area's catchments. Biodiversity will also benefit as will the protection of the site's archaeological records.

The restoration site is dominated by actively eroding and degraded peat soils, with deep gullies often eroded down to the mineral layer. Due to this the site supports a reduced vegetation structure. In the present condition there are no economic alternatives other than grouse shooting and some sheep grazing, but these are wintered indoors. However, these activities are severely limited on the two restoration plots due to the poor condition of the soils and vegetation.

Post-restoration the site's peat soils and vegetation will be in recovering condition and will begin to perform significant ecosystem services that will last for the 30-year duration of the project. The carbon benefits of this project are relatively simple to quantify and monetise yet there are further benefits from this project. These include a reduction in particulate organic carbon and sediment delivery to watercourses, a reduction in water colour (Dissolved Organic Carbon), enhanced water storage reducing flood risk, and improvements in plant biodiversity with a subsequent enhancement to animal biodiversity.

) If applicable, please describe how barriers that prevent the implementation of the roject (legal, practical, social, economic or environmental) have been overcome.			
There are no known barriers.			
1.6 Avoidance of Double Counting			
1) Please state all parties with a legal right to make statements about the emissions reduction benefits of the project (the 'owner(s)') and the amount/proportion of units (tCO ₂ e) for which they have a right.			

Owner	Contact Email	Units (tCO₂e or %)
Corlands Minerals		100%

1.7 GHG Statements

1) If applicable, please state where any statements about the predicted emission reduction benefits of the project have been made to date.

Signage onsite	No
Websites	No
Publicity leaflets etc.	No
Other media (provide details)	No

2) Please state how buyers were/will be informed of Peatland Code requirements regarding GHG statements

Buyers will be made aware of Peatland Code requirement 1.7 (V1.1) at the time of sale with a clause within the sales contract committing the buyer to compliance.

2. Project Design

2.1 Management Plan

1) Does the project have a restoration management plan for the duration of the project? Yes

2) Please provide a short overview of the project objectives and activities to be implemented.

The restoration objectives for Dufton Fell are:

- 1. To improve **5.5ha** of *Actively Eroding Flat Bare peat* (AU1) to a *Drained Revegetated* status by:-
- Brashing, and planting of cotton grass plugs along with careful positioning of coir rolls along small channels established, or establishing, across the bare peat surface.
- 2. To improve the **19.58ha** of *Actively Eroding Hagg/Gully* (AU2) to a *Drained Revegetated* status by:-
- Reprofiling & revegetating (as above) the eroding sides of 6918.3m of gully and 16.12ha of eroding peat hags
- 3. To improve the **64.81ha** Drained Hagg/Gully (AU3) to Modified by:-
- Blocking 698m of eroded gully with 343 stone sediment traps
- Using 600 coir rolls to dam **5.35ha** of dendritic gullied areas
- Planting 17850 common cotton grass plug plants on suitably wet bare peat ,in the base of gullies and dendritic areas
- Brashing 5.5ha of bare peat with 1650 bags of heather brash (300 bags per hectare), stabilising eroding bare peat and acting s a mulch and see source to stimulate revegetation.
- 4. To ensure the elevated status in each category is achieved, and potential for the whole site to realise a *Modified/Near natural* is maximised, a follow-up management programme will supplement seed, cotton grass, crowberry plugs, and sphagnum to areas still vulnerable to erosion; add geotextiles and baffles to areas still vulnerable to erosion; and continue work on the site's hydrology to optimise water retention and sediment capture enabling eroded gullies to refill to the level of the surrounding landscape.

- 3) Were legal compliance and best practice guidance considered in the preparation of the restoration management plan? *Yes*
- 4) Please provide a short overview of the expected environmental and social impact of the project.

Environmental:

- There will be substantial environmental and social benefits through the prevention
 of erosion through revegetation, dams and sediment traps. This will not only
 decrease the amount of carbon being released from the site, and its subsequent
 contribution to global warming, but is also expected to decrease the amount of
 sediment reaching watercourses further down the catchment.
- 2. Runoff from the site feeds into the Maize Beck and enters the River Tees directly below Cow Green Reservoir., in addition to the strategic positioning of dams, bunds and sediment traps, will further serve to slow the flow of water into the wider catchment and be of benefit to the habitats within and surrounding the catchment. Importantly, this will reduce costly impacts into a key water abstraction catchment managed by Northumbria Water. Reduced DOC and POC will enhance water quality and reduce the costs to the water company and so will be of benefit to the companies customers.
- 3. A reduced sediment load and DOC will be beneficial to salmonid spawning and recruitment in these upper reaches. As it has been demonstrated that the revegetation of bare peat areas reduces the flood peak, it is expected that revegetation of the site in addition to the strategic positioning of dams, bunds and sediment traps will further serve to slow the flow of water into the wider catchment and be of benefit to the habitats within and surrounding the catchment, as well as to communities vulnerable to flooding. This project will complement and support the work being done on the by the North Pennines AONB Partnership to slow the flow and encourage water retention in the uplands through Natural Flood Management techniques.
- 4. The upper Tees will receive significant benefit from the reduced quantity of sediment and flood water reaching the watercourse. Other riverine habitats along this upper section are also expected to receive some benefit as water quality improves and sediment load decreases.
- 5. Biodiversity on Dufton Fell is also expected to benefit from the restoration as currently degraded and eroded land will be revegetated with cotton grasses, dwarf shrubs and sphagnums. Similarly, as erosion declines, and the restoration techniques initiate the process of rewetting the moor, the water retaining capabilities of the peat will improve as will the vegetation, amphibian species, insect life and the many species that depend on these invertebrates.
- 6. As a result of the above, the land will become much more valuable as a feeding and resting place for migrant birds and help in the creation of a corridor across the area to support these migrations which link to important sites including North Moor NNR. This will contribute to the overall aims of the North Pennines AONB helping to retain and enrich all the unique and biodiverse qualities of the area. Additionally, it benefits the Eden catchment and so the benefits from the project spread to locations outside of the AONB.
- 7. Rewetting the site and raising the water table will also help to make the site more resistant to climate change, helping to preserve the unique environmental characteristics of the area and the survival of all biodiversity dependent on this ecosystem.

Social:

- 1. The landowner is expected to benefit from an increased capacity of the land to support healthy grouse populations. His clientele will also benefit from the improved topography, aesthetics and biodiversity of the land.
- 2. There is a main footpath crossing the site and walkers will also be able to benefit from the enhanced biodiversity and aesthetics of the area. The Pennine Way also crosses to the edge of this site.
- 3. As the land lies within the North Pennines AONB it will be contributing to the AONBs aesthetic qualities and biodiversity targets, helping to maintain its status and be of financial benefit to the wider community through tourism.
- 4. The project will also contribute to the lowering of DOC and POC content of the water which will influence the colour and quality of water. This will ultimately be of benefit to the water companies (namely Northumbria Water) extracting from this catchment, particularly in times of peak flow when particulate matter and DOC is normally high.
- 5. The site restoration will contribute to the increased lag time of runoff during periods of high rainfall and rapid run-off due to the water travelling slower over vegetated, rougher ground. The stone dams will also work to hold more water up on the moors. Ultimately this will benefit communities living within the catchment who are subject to flooding under these conditions, allowing them greater preparation time to prevent damage to their properties and evacuate the area.
- 6. The higher water table and lower fuel load will also increase the site's ability to resist wildfire, avoiding the terrible social and environmental costs that fire across the site could bring. This has been shown on RSPB and National Trust land in the Southern Pennines.

2.2 Monitoring Plan

1) Does the project have a monitoring plan for the duration of the project? Yes

2.3 Management of risks and permanence

1) Has a Risk Assessment been undertaken to identify potential risks to the maintenance of improved condition category and appropriate mitigation strategies? Yes

3. GHG emission reduction

3.1 Establishment of Baseline Emissions

1) Please insert a completed Table 2 from the Peatland Code Emissions Calculator.

Assessment Unit	Area (ha)	Pre-Restoration (Baseline) Condition Category	Post-Restoration Condition Category	
AU1	5.35	Actively Eroding: Flat Bare	Drained: Re-Vegetated AE	
AU2		Actively Eroding: Hagg/Gully	Drained: Re-Vegetated AE	
AU3	64.81	Drained: Hagg/Gully	Modified	
AU4	48.02	Modified	Modified	
AU5		Non-Peatland	Non-Peatland	
AU6				
AU7				
AU8				
AU9				
AU10				
Total	118.18			

1a) Please state the current land use or management and describe how it will be affected by the project.

There is a small amount of sheep grazing and limited grouse shooting. This will not be affected by restoration which will take place through the winter months. While sheep will not be excluded from the site historically the sheep have not favoured these areas and alternative grazing is available. As such, this is not considered a problem by the landowner or grazier.

In the long term it is desirable that the restored land should be free from any management which involves burning as this will be detrimental to the restoration and to the health of the peat. This is in accordance with recent Natural England policies and is in negotiation with the landowner. The Land management plan will take these issues into account and have all been agreed by the landowner and grazier.

- 1b) Will the project lead to change of land use or management elsewhere within the same agricultural/land holding (e.g. peatland degradation or intensification of land use in another area)? *No*
- 1c) If Yes, Is the change in land use or management significant (i.e. will GHG emissions \geq 5% of project emissions reductions over the duration)? N/A
- 2) If significant, please state the emissions (tCO₂e) of the displaced activity for the duration of the project. (If no leakage or not significant, leakage =0).

3.3 Net Project GHG emission reduction

2) Please insert a completed Table 5 from the Peatland Code Emissions Calculator.

Cumulative Emissions Reduction over project duration (tCo2e)							
Period (Year)	Gross Emissions Reduction (tCO2e)	Emissions Reduction less 10% model precision (tCO2e)	Net Emissions Reduction adjusted for Leakage (tCO2e)	Cumulative Risk Buffer Contribution (tCO2e)	Cumulative Claimable Emissions Reduction (tCO2e)		
0-5	1164	1048	1048	157	891		
5-10	2329	2096	2096	314	1781		
10-15	3493	3144	3144	472	2672		
15-20	4658	4192	4192	629	3563		
20-25	5822	5240	5240	786	4454		
25-30	6986	6288	6288	943	5344		
30-35	0	0	0	0	0		
35-40	0	0	0	0	0		
40-45	0	0	0	0	0		
45-50	0	0	0	0	0		
50-55	0	0	0	0	0		
55-60	0	0	0	0	0		

3) If necessary, use this space to clarify any details of your calculation		