

Compilation of Evidence for Validation

Version 1.1, March 2017

Project Name:	Tynehead Fell
Registry ID:	
Location:	North Pennines AONB
Grid Reference:	NY 76658 34733
Gross Area (ha):	54.99ha
Project Developer:	North Pennines AONB Partnership
PDD Completed by:	Lee Rankin, Field Officer
Email contact:	lee@northpenninesaonb.org.uk

All statements made in this document are correct to the best of my knowledge at the time of completion. *I agree*

NOTE:

- 1. When completing each section of this document please refer to the requirements set out in the relevant section of the Peatland Code. Boxes for text can be expanded if not large enough.
- 2. Your Project Design Document will be made available on the publically available Peatland Code Registry upon achievement of validation.

3. Additional evidence to support the statements made within this document will be required by the certification body.

Contents

1.	Eligi	bility and Governance	
	1.1	Eligible Activities	1
	1.2	Project Duration	1
	1.3	Eligible Land	2
	1.4	Consultation	2
	1.5	Additionality	3
	1.6	Avoidance of Double Counting	4
	1.7	GHG Statements	4
2.	Proje	ect Design	
	2.1	Management Plan	5
	2.2	Monitoring Plan	5
	2.3	Management of Risk to Project Permanence	5
3.	GHG	Emissions Reduction	
	3.1	Establishment of Baseline Emissions	6
	3.2	GHG Leakage	6
	3.3	Net GHG Emissions Reduction	7

1. Eligibility and Governance

1.1 Eligible Activities

1) Please provide a short summary of the project including as a minimum reference to peatland type, peatland condition and restoration and management activities which shall be implemented.

The restoration site is composed of actively eroding gullies and hags with flat bare peat and dendritically eroded areas. The project will utilise well-established peatland restoration techniques including reprofiling and revegetating of gullies and hags; blocking eroding gullies using stone dams, coir rolls to slow the flow in shallower gullies and on bare peat; revegetating all bare peat areas (including reprofiled gully and hag sides) using heather brash, a moorland seed mix, lime, phosphate based fertiliser and cotton grass plugs. There will be a comprehensive management and maintenance plan to ensure the restoration is effective.

- 2) Is a minimum peat depth of 50cm present within the project area? Yes
- 2) Please provide details of any current land management agreements, including any statutory designations, in existence within the project area.

Entry and Higher Level Stewardship (not including capital works on peatland restoration) SPA, SAC and SSSI Natura 2000 site

3) Please state any identified conflicts between planned restoration and management activities and existing land management agreements and how these shall be mitigated

none			
1			

1.2 Project Duration

1	Please	state	the	project	duration	(vears)	١.
	, i icasc	State	LIIC	project	auration	(y Cai 3	,-

Within area of North Pennines AONB

30

2) If the project duration exceeds 55 years please state the peat depth within the project area.

Owner: Geom Carrick and Sons						
1b) Please state the land registry number, if known N/A Shadow sites						
2) Has any new activity to drain and/or remove vegetation taken place on the peatland within the project area since November 2015? <i>No</i>						
ed stakeholders (or their repression period.	sentatives), the consultation					
Consultation Method	Consultation Period					
e impacts of the project identifi where feasible and/or relevant	ied by stakeholder(s) and the					
Action taken (Yes/No - If No,						
	registry number, if known drain and/or remove vegetatio ce November 2015? No d stakeholders (or their represon period. Consultation Method e impacts of the project identification feasible and/or relevant					

1a) Please state the owner(s) and if applicable, the tenant(s), of the land within the

project area

1.5 Additionality
1) Is there a legal requirement specifying that peatland within the project area must be restored? <i>No</i>
2) Please state the proportion of the project restoration and management costs that Carbon Finance will be required to fund.
N/A
3) Please describe the economic alternatives for the peatland within the project area and describe the influence of Carbon Finance on the project's economic viability over its duration (Internal Rate of Return (IRR) or Net Present Value (NPV) should be used to demonstrate comparison).
As the majority of the site is actively draining and/or eroding, there are no possible economic alternatives for the land other than the small benefits currently obtained from limited grouse shooting and sheep grazing. However, post peatland restoration, the site would perform significant ecosystem services over the 30-year duration of the project. In addition to the carbon saved from the current intense erosion, restoration will reduce the amount of sediment and help slow the flow of water into the area's catchments. Biodiversity will also benefit as will the protection of the site's archaeological records.
4) If applicable, please describe how barriers that prevent the implementation of the project (legal, practical, social, economic or environmental) have been overcome. There are no known barriers.
1.6 Avoidance of Double Counting

1) Please state all parties with a legal right to make statements about the emissions reduction benefits of the project (the 'owner(s)') and the amount/proportion of units (tCO $_2$ e) for which they have a right.

Owner	Contact Email	Units (tCO₂e or %)
Geoff Carrick and Sons		100%

1.7 GHG Statements

1) If applicable, please state where any statements about the predicted emission reduction benefits of the project have been made to date.

Signage onsite	No
Websites	No
Publicity leaflets etc.	No
Other media (provide details)	No

2) Please state how buyers were/will be informed of Peatland Code requirements regarding GHG statements

Buyers will be made aware of Peatland Code requirement 1.7 (V1.1) at the time of sale with a clause within the sales contract committing the buyer to compliance.

2. Project Design

2.1 Management Plan

1) Does the project have a restoration management plan for the duration of the project? Yes

2) Please provide a short overview of the project objectives and activities to be implemented.

The restoration objectives for Tynehead Fell are:

- 1. To improve the **1.2ha** of *Actively Eroding Flat Bare peat* (AU1) to a *Drained Revegetated* status by:-
- Brashing with cut heather, reseeding, planting of cotton grass and, and the addition of lime and phosphate fertiliser.
- 2. To improve the **14.79ha** of *Actively Eroding Hagg/Gully* (AU2) to a *Drained Revegetated* status by:-
- Reprofiling & revegetating (as above) the eroding sides of 3235m of gully and eroding peat hags
- 3. To improve the 19.81ha of Drained Hagg/Gully (AU3) to Modified by:-
- Reprofiling and blocking 3235m of eroding gully with 119 stone sediment traps
- Using 332 coir logs in bare peat, mineral soil & dendritic areas to slow the flow and trap sediment
- Brashing 6.5ha of bare peat with 1950 bags of heather brash (300 bags per hectare), stabilising eroding bare peat and acting as a mulch layer and seed source to stimulate revegetation
- Planting 19,500 common cotton grass plug plants on suitably wet bare peat, in the base of gullies and dendritic areas
- 4. To ensure the elevated status in each category is achieved, and potential for the whole site to realise a *Modified/Near natural* is maximised, a follow-up management programme will supplement seed, cotton grass, crowberry plugs, and sphagnum to areas still vulnerable to erosion; add geotextiles and baffles to areas still vulnerable to erosion; and continue work on the site's hydrology to optimise water retention and sediment capture enabling eroded gullies to refill to the level of the surrounding landscape.

- 3) Were legal compliance and best practice guidance considered in the preparation of the restoration management plan? *Yes*
- 4) Please provide a short overview of the expected environmental and social impact of the project.

Environmental:

- There will be substantial environmental and social benefits through the prevention
 of erosion through revegetation, dams and sediment traps. This will not only
 decrease the amount of carbon being released from the site, and its subsequent
 contribution to global warming, but is also expected to decrease the amount of
 sediment reaching watercourses further down the catchment.
- 2. Runoff from the site feeds into The Tees catchment before flowing into Cow Green Reservoir. It is expected that revegetation of the site, in addition to the strategic positioning of dams, bunds and sediment traps, will further serve to slow the flow of water into the wider catchment and be of benefit to the habitats within and surrounding the catchment. Importantly, this will reduce costly impacts into a key water abstraction catchment managed by Northumbria Water. Reduced DOC and POC will enhance water quality and reduce the costs to the water company and so will be of benefit to the companies customers.
- 3. A reduced sediment load and DOC will be beneficial to salmonid spawning and recruitment in these upper reaches. As it has been demonstrated that the revegetation of bare peat areas reduces the flood peak, it is expected that revegetation of the site in addition to the strategic positioning of dams, bunds and sediment traps will further serve to slow the flow of water into the wider catchment and be of benefit to the habitats within and surrounding the catchment, as well as to communities vulnerable to flooding. This project will complement and support the work being done on the by the North Pennines AONB Partnership to slow the flow and encourage water retention in the uplands through Natural Flood Management techniques.
- 4. The upper Tees will receive significant benefit from the reduced quantity of sediment and flood water reaching the watercourse. Other riverine habitats along this upper section are also expected to receive some benefit as water quality improves and sediment load decreases.
- 5. Biodiversity on Tynehead Fell is also expected to benefit from the restoration as currently degraded and eroded land will be revegetated with cotton grasses, dwarf shrubs and sphagnums. Similarly, as erosion declines, and the restoration techniques initiate the process of rewetting the moor, the water retaining capabilities of the peat will improve as will the vegetation, insect life and the many species that depend on these invertebrates.
- 6. As a result of the above, the land will become much more valuable as a feeding and resting place for migrant birds and help in the creation of a corridor across the area to support these migrations. This will contribute to the overall aims of the North Pennines AONB, helping to retain and enrich all the unique and biodiverse qualities of the area.
- 7. Rewetting the site and raising the water table will also help to make the site more resistant to climate change, helping to preserve the unique environmental characteristics of the area and the survival of all biodiversity dependent on this ecosystem.

Social:

- 1. The landowner is expected to benefit from an increased capacity of the land to support sheep grazing and healthy grouse populations. His shooting guests will also benefit from the improved topography, aesthetics and biodiversity of the land.
- 2. The Pennine Way crosses below this site and so walkers will be able to benefit from the enhanced biodiversity and aesthetics of the area.
- 3. As the land lies within the North Pennines AONB, it will be contributing to the protected area's overall aesthetic quality and biodiversity, helping to maintain its status and be of financial benefit to the wider community through tourism.
- 4. The project will also contribute to the lowering of DOC and POC content of the water which will influence the colour and quality of water. This will ultimately be of benefit to the water companies (namely Northumbria Water) extracting from this catchment, and Cow Green Reservoir, particularly in times of peak flow when particulate matter and DOC is normally high.
- 5. The site restoration will contribute to the increased lag time of runoff on the Upper Tees during periods of high rainfall and runoff, and when Cow Green Reservoir is full, due to the water travelling slower over vegetated, rougher ground. The dams will also work to hold more water up on the moors. Ultimately this will benefit communities living within the catchment who are subject to flooding under these conditions, allowing them greater preparation time to prevent damage to their properties and evacuate the area.
- 6. The higher water table and lower fuel load will also increase the site's ability to resist wildfire, avoiding the terrible social and environmental costs that fire across the site could bring. This has been shown on RSPB and National Trust land in the Southern Pennines.

2.2 Monitoring Plan

1) Does the project have a monitoring plan for the duration of the project? Yes

2.3 Management of risks and permanence

1) Has a Risk Assessment been undertaken to identify potential risks to the maintenance of improved condition category and appropriate mitigation strategies? Yes

3. GHG emission reduction

3.1 Establishment of Baseline Emissions

1) Please insert a completed Table 2 from the Peatland Code Emissions Calculator.

Assessment Unit	Area (ha)	Pre-Restoration (Baseline) Condition Category	Post-Restoration Condition Category
AU1	1.2	Actively Eroding: Flat Bare	Drained: Re-Vegetated AE
AU2	14.79	Actively Eroding: Hagg/Gully	Drained: Re-Vegetated AE
AU3	19.81	Drained: Hagg/Gully	Modified
AU4	19.19	Modified	Modified
AU5		Non-Peatland	Non-Peatland
AU6			
AU7			
AU8			
AU9			
AU10			
Total	54.99		

1a) Please state the current land use or management and describe how it will be affected by the project.

Presently sheep grazing is the major use of this fell with limited grouse shooting. This will not be affected by restoration which will take place through the winter months. While the sheep will not be excluded from this site, historically the sheep have not favoured these areas and alternative grazing is available. As such, this is not considered a problem by the landowner.

In the long term it is desirable that the restored land should be free from any management which involves burning as this will be detrimental to the restoration and to the health of the peat. This is in accordance with recent Natural England policies and is in negotiation with the landowner. The Land management plan will take these issues into account and have all been agreed by the landowner and grazier.

- 1b) Will the project lead to change of land use or management elsewhere within the same agricultural/land holding (e.g. peatland degradation or intensification of land use in another area)? *No*
- 1c) If Yes, Is the change in land use or management significant (i.e. will GHG emissions ≥ 5% of project emissions reductions over the duration)? N/A
- 2) If significant, please state the emissions (tCO₂e) of the displaced activity for the duration of the project. (If no leakage or not significant, leakage =0).

3.3 Net Project GHG emission reduction

2) Please insert a completed Table 5 from the Peatland Code Emissions Calculator.

Cumulati	Cumulative Emissions Reduction over project duration (tCo2e)						
Period (Year)	Gross Emissions Reduction (tCO2e)	Emissions Reduction less 10% model precision (tCO2e)	Net Emissions Reduction adjusted for Leakage (tCO2e)	Cumulative Risk Buffer Contribution (tCO2e)	Cumulative Claimable Emissions Reduction (tCO2e)		
0-5	959	864	864	130	734		
5-10	1919	1727	1727	259	1468		
10-15	2878	2591	2591	389	2202		
15-20	3838	3454	3454	518	2936		
20-25	4797	4318	4318	648	3670		
25-30	5757	5181	5181	777	4404		
30-35	0	0	0	0	0		
35-40	0	0	0	0	0		
40-45	0	0	0	0	0		
45-50	0	0	0	0	0		
50-55	0	0	0	0	0		
55-60	0	0	0	0	0		
60-65	0	0	0	0	0		
65-70	0	0	0	0	0		

70-75	0	0	0	0	0
75-80	0	0	0	0	0
80-85	0	0	0	0	0
85-90	0	0	0	0	0
90-95	0	0	0	0	0
95-	_	_	_	_	_
100	0	0	0	0	0

3) If necessary, use this space to clarify any details of your calculation				