

Compilation of Evidence for Validation

Version 1.1, March 2017

Project Name:	Warcop
Registry ID:	N/A
Location:	North Pennines AONB
Grid Reference:	
Gross Area (ha):	12.65
Project Developer:	North Pennines AONB Partnership
PDD Completed by:	Lee Rankin, Field Officer
Email contact:	lee@northpenninesaonb.org.uk

All statements made in this document are correct to the best of my knowledge at the time of completion. *I agree*

NOTE:

- 1. When completing each section of this document please refer to the requirements set out in the relevant section of the Peatland Code. Boxes for text can be expanded if not large enough.
- 2. Your Project Design Document will be made available on the publically available Peatland Code Registry upon achievement of validation.

3. Additional evidence to support the statements made within this document will be required by the certification body.

Contents

1.	Eligil	bility and Governance	
	1.1	Eligible Activities	1
	1.2	Project Duration	1
	1.3	Eligible Land	2
	1.4	Consultation	2
	1.5	Additionality	3
	1.6	Avoidance of Double Counting	4
	1.7	GHG Statements	4
2.	Proje	ect Design	
	2.1	Management Plan	5
	2.2	Monitoring Plan	5
	2.3	Management of Risk to Project Permanence	5
3.	GHG	Emissions Reduction	
	3.1	Establishment of Baseline Emissions	6
	3.2	GHG Leakage	6
	3.3	Net GHG Emissions Reduction	7

1. Eligibility and Governance

1.1 Eligible Activities

1) Please provide a short summary of the project including as a minimum reference to peatland type, peatland condition and restoration and management activities which shall be implemented.

The restoration site is composed of actively eroding gullies and hags with flat bare peat and dendritically eroded areas. The project will utilise well-established peatland restoration techniques including reprofiling and revegetating of gullies and hags; blocking eroding gullies using stone dams, coir rolls to slow the flow in shallower gullies and on bare peat; revegetating all bare peat areas (including reprofiled gully and hag sides) using heather brash, a moorland seed mix, lime, phosphate-based fertiliser and cotton grass plugs. There will be a comprehensive management and maintenance plan to ensure the restoration is effective.

- 2) Is a minimum peat depth of 50cm present within the project area? Yes
- 2) Please provide details of any current land management agreements, including any statutory designations, in existence within the project area.

Entry and Higher Level Stewardship (not including capital works on peatland restoration) SPA, SAC and SSSI Natura 2000 site

3) Please state any identified conflicts between planned restoration and management

Within area of North Pennines AONB

activities and existing land management agreements and how these shall be mitigated.				
none				

1.2 Project Duration

1)) Please	state	the	proj	ect o	durati	ion (years).
----	----------	-------	-----	------	-------	--------	-------	-------	----

30

2) If the project duration exceeds 55 years please state the peat depth within the project area.

1b) Please state the land registry number, if known				
N/A Shadow site				
2) Has any new activity to drain and/or remove vegetation taken place on the peatland within the project area since November 2015? <i>No</i>				
1.4 Consultation				
1) Please state all identified method and the consultation	d stakeholders (or their repres on period.	sentatives), the consultation		
Stakeholder	Consultation Method	Consultation Period		
	impacts of the project identif here feasible and/or relevant	ied by stakeholder(s) and the		
Negative Impact Identified	Action taken (Yes/No - <i>If No</i> ,	0		
No negative impacts identified from any stakeholders	(Tes/NO - II NO,)	provide details)		

1a) Please state the owner(s) and if applicable, the tenant(s), of the land within the

project area

Owner: Ministry of Defence

I	

1.5 Additionality

1) Is there a legal requirement specifying that peatland within the project area must be restored? *No*

Please state the proportion of the Carbon Finance will be required to fu	project restoration and management costs that ind.

3) Please describe the economic alternatives for the peatland within the project area and describe the influence of Carbon Finance on the project's economic viability over its duration (Internal Rate of Return (IRR) or Net Present Value (NPV) should be used to demonstrate comparison).

As most of this restoration site is actively draining and eroding, there are no possible economic alternatives for the land other than the small benefits currently obtained from grouse shooting and occasional sheep grazing. However, post peatland restoration, the site would perform significant ecosystem services over the 30-year duration of the project. In addition to the carbon saved from the current intense erosion, restoration will reduce the amount of sediment and help slow the flow of water into the area's catchments. Biodiversity will also benefit as will the protection of the site's archaeological records.

The site is dominated by actively eroding and degraded peat soils which support a reduced vegetation structure. In the present condition there are no economic alternatives other than grouse shooting and some sheep grazing. However, these activities are severely limited on this area due to the poor condition of the soils and vegetation.

Post-restoration the site's peat soils and vegetation will be in recovering condition and will begin to perform significant ecosystem services that will last for the 30-year duration of the project. The carbon benefits of this project are relatively simple to quantify and monetise yet there are further benefits from this project. These include a reduction in particulate organic carbon and sediment delivery to watercourses, a reduction in water colour (Dissolved Organic Carbon), enhanced water storage reducing flood risk, and improvements in plant biodiversity with a subsequent enhancement to animal biodiversity.

4) If applicable, please describe how barriers that prevent the implementation of the project (legal, practical, social, economic or environmental) have been overcome.
There are no known barriers.
1.6 Avoidance of Double Counting

1) Please state all parties with a legal right to make statements about the emissions reduction benefits of the project (the 'owner(s)') and the amount/proportion of units (tCO₂e) for which they have a right.

Owner	Contact Email	Units (tCO₂e or %)
Ministry of Defence	N/A	100%

1.7 GHG Statements

1) If applicable, please state where any statements about the predicted emission reduction benefits of the project have been made to date.

Signage onsite	No
Websites	No
Publicity leaflets etc.	No
Other media (provide details)	No

2) Please state how buyers were/will be informed of Peatland Code requirements regarding GHG statements

Buyers will be made aware of Peatland Code requirement 1.7 (V1.1) at the time of sale with a clause within the sales contract committing the buyer to compliance.

2. Project Design

2.1 Management Plan

1) Does the project have a restoration management plan for the duration of the project? Yes

2) Please provide a short overview of the project objectives and activities to be implemented.

The restoration objectives for Warcop are:

- 1. To improve the **6.65ha** of *Actively Eroding Flat Bare peat* (AU1) to a *Drained Revegetated* status by:-
- Brashing, reseeding, planting of cotton grass and crowberry plugs, and incoculating with sphagnum clumps all bare peat and mineral areas.
- 2. To improve the **XXXha** of *Actively Eroding Hagg/Gully* (AU2) to a *Drained Revegetated* status by:-
- Reprofiling & revegetating (as above) the eroding sides of eroding gully systems extending to 769m and eroding peat hags stretching to XXXha.
- 3. To improve 6ha of Drained Hagg/Gully (AU3) to Modified by:-
- Using **140** coir logs to dam the dendritic gullied areas
- Brashing 7.5ha of bare peat and dendritic gullied areas with 2250 dumpy bags of heather brash (300 bags per hectare), stabilising eroding bare peat and acting as a mulch layer and seed source to stimulate revegetation.
- **4.** To ensure the elevated status in each category is achieved, and potential for the whole site to realise a *Modified/Near natural* is maximised, a follow-up management programme will supplement seed, cotton grass, and sphagnum to areas still vulnerable to erosion; add geotextiles and baffles to areas still vulnerable to erosion; and continue work on the site's hydrology to optimise water retention and sediment capture enabling eroded gullies to refill to the level of the surrounding landscape.

- 3) Were legal compliance and best practice guidance considered in the preparation of the restoration management plan? *Yes*
- 4) Please provide a short overview of the expected environmental and social impact of the project.

Environmental:

- 1. There will be substantial environmental and social benefits through the prevention of erosion through revegetation, dams and sediment traps. This will not only decrease the amount of carbon being released from the site, and its subsequent contribution to global warming, but is also expected to decrease the amount of sediment reaching watercourses further down the catchment.
- 2. Runoff from the site feeds into the River Tees catchment via the River Lune which flows into Selset Reservoir. This catchment has been identified as having a contributory effect to environmental damage and flooding downstream which is buffered by the reservoir, however when the reservoir is full the Warcop area can play a significant part in the downstream flood peaks on the River Tees. As it has been demonstrated that the revegetation of bare peat areas reduces the flood peak, it is expected that revegetation of the site in addition to the strategic positioning of dams, bunds and sediment traps will further serve to slow the flow of water into the wider catchment and be of benefit to the habitats within and surrounding the catchment, as well as to communities vulnerable to flooding. This project will complement and support the work being done on the Tees by the North Pennines AONB, Tees Rivers Trust and the EA to slow the flow and encourage water retention in the uplands.
- 3. The Tees catchment offers a spawning ground for salmonid species and will receive some benefit from the reduced quantity of DOC, sediment and flood water reaching its shores. Other riverine habitats along the upper reaches of these watercourses are also expected to receive some benefit as water quality improves and sediment load decreases.
- 4. Biodiversity on Warcop Fell is also expected to benefit from the restoration as currently degraded and eroded land will be revegetated with cotton grasses, dwarf shrubs and sphagnums. Similarly, as erosion declines, and the restoration techniques initiate the process of rewetting the moor, the water retaining capabilities of the peat will improve as will the vegetation, amphibian species, insect life and the many species that depend on these invertebrates.
- 5. As a result of the above, the land will become much more valuable as a feeding and resting place for migrant birds and help in the creation of a corridor across the area to support these migrations which link to important sites including North Moor NNR. This will contribute to the overall aims of the North Pennines AONB helping to retain and enrich all the unique and biodiverse qualities of the area.
- 6. Rewetting the site and raising the water table will also help to make the site more resistant to climate change, helping to preserve the unique environmental characteristics of the area and the survival of all biodiversity dependent on this ecosystem.

Social:

- 1. The landowner is expected to benefit from an increased capacity of the land to support healthy grouse populations while the graziers will have improved land to traverse when bringing the stock.
- 2. As the land lies within the North Pennines AONB it will be contributing to the AONBs aesthetic qualities and biodiversity targets, helping to maintain its status and be of financial benefit to the wider community through tourism.
- The project will also contribute to the lowering of DOC and POC content of the
 water which will influence the colour and quality of water. This will ultimately be of
 benefit to the water company (namely Northumbria Water) extracting from this
 catchment, particularly in times of peak flow when particulate matter and DOC is
 raised.
- 4. The site restoration will contribute to the increased lag time of runoff during periods of high rainfall and rapid run-off due to the water travelling slower over vegetated, rougher ground. The stone dams and coir rolls will also work to hold more water up on the moors. Ultimately this will benefit communities living within the catchment who are subject to flooding under these conditions, allowing them greater preparation time to prevent damage to their properties and evacuate the area.
- 5. The higher water table and lower fuel load will also increase the site's ability to resist wildfire, avoiding the terrible social and environmental costs that fire across the site could bring. This has been shown on RSPB and National Trust land in the Southern Pennines.

2.2 Monitoring Plan

1) Does the project have a monitoring plan for the duration of the project? Yes

2.3 Management of risks and permanence

1) Has a Risk Assessment been undertaken to identify potential risks to the maintenance of improved condition category and appropriate mitigation strategies? Yes

3. GHG emission reduction

3.1 Establishment of Baseline Emissions

1) Please insert a completed Table 2 from the Peatland Code Emissions Calculator.

Assessment Unit	Area (ha)	Pre-Restoration (Baseline) Condition Category	Post-Restoration Condition Category
AU1	6.65	Actively Eroding: Flat Bare	Drained: Re-Vegetated AE
AU2		Actively Eroding: Hagg/Gully	Drained: Re-Vegetated AE
AU3	6	Drained: Hagg/Gully	Modified
AU4	tbc	Modified	Modified
AU5		Non-Peatland	Non-Peatland
AU6			
AU7			
AU8			
AU9			
AU10			
Total	12.65		

1a) Please state the current land use or management and describe how it will be affected by the project.

There is a small amount of sheep grazing and limited grouse shooting. This will not be affected by restoration which will take place through the winter months. Through the summer, post-restoration, the sheep may be excluded from revegetated areas to protect the new growth. However, if not then historically it has been shown that sheep do not favour these areas and alternative grazing is available. As such, this is not considered a problem by the landowner or graziers.

In the long term it is desirable that the restored land should be free from any management which involves burning as this will be detrimental to the restoration and to the health of the peat. This is in accordance with recent Natural England policies and has been agreed by the landowner. The Land management plan takes these issues into account and have all been agreed by the landowner and grazier.

- 1b) Will the project lead to change of land use or management elsewhere within the same agricultural/land holding (e.g. peatland degradation or intensification of land use in another area)? *No*
- 1c) If Yes, Is the change in land use or management significant (i.e. will GHG emissions \geq 5% of project emissions reductions over the duration)? N/A
- 2) If significant, please state the emissions (tCO₂e) of the displaced activity for the duration of the project. (If no leakage or not significant, leakage =0).

3.3 Net Project GHG emission reduction

2) Please insert a completed Table 5 from the Peatland Code Emissions Calculator.

Cumulative Emissions Reduction over project duration (tCo2e)						
Period (Year)	Gross Emissions Reduction (tCO2e)	Emissions Reduction less 10% model precision (tCO2e)	Net Emissions Reduction adjusted for Leakage (tCO2e)	Cumulative Risk Buffer Contribution (tCO2e)	Cumulative Claimable Emissions Reduction (tCO2e)	
0-5	702	632	632	95	537	
5-10	1403	1263	1263	189	1074	
10-15	2105	1895	1895	284	1610	
15-20	2807	2526	2526	379	2147	
20-25	3509	3158	3158	474	2684	
25-30	4210	3789	3789	568	3221	
30-35	0	0	0	0	0	
35-40	0	0	0	0	0	
40-45	0	0	0	0	0	
45-50	0	0	0	0	0	
50-55	0	0	0	0	0	
55-60	0	0	0	0	0	

3) If necessary, use this space to clarify any details of your calculation						